

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

No. C 17-06011 WHA  
No. C 17-06012 WHA

Plaintiff,

v.

**REQUEST FOR  
INFORMATION RE AMICUS  
CURIAE MATERIALS**

BP P.L.C., *et al.*,

Defendants.

\_\_\_\_\_ /  
The Court has received two proposed amicus submissions (Dkt. Nos. 153, 157).<sup>\*</sup> Although each submission touches on the questions outlined below, further elucidation is needed. By **TOMORROW AT 5 P.M.**, the amici shall provide the following information:


1. The source of any funding received by amici in connection with the general research described in their submissions. This should include a clear statement regarding whether (and the extent to which) amici have received funding from individuals or entities on either side of the climate change debate (*e.g.*, from any environmental or industry group);
2. Whether amici are in any way affiliated (directly or indirectly) with any party to the above-entitled actions; and

\_\_\_\_\_  
<sup>\*</sup> All docket numbers herein refer to the docket in Case No. C 17-6011 WHA.

1 3. Why amici waited until shortly before the tutorial to file their proposed submissions,  
2 such that the parties only have limited time to react.

3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

Dated: March 19, 2018.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
WILLIAM ALSUP  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE