

United States Court of Appeals
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 15-1381

September Term, 2015

**EPA-80FR64510
EPA-81FR27442**

Filed On: August 30, 2016

State of North Dakota,

Petitioner

v.

Environmental Protection Agency,

Respondent

State of New Mexico, et al.,
Intervenors

Consolidated with 15-1396, 15-1397,
15-1399, 15-1434, 15-1438, 15-1448,
15-1456, 15-1458, 15-1463, 15-1468,
15-1469, 15-1481, 15-1482, 15-1484,
16-1218, 16-1220, 16-1221, 16-1227

BEFORE: Henderson, Srinivasan, and Wilkins, Circuit Judges

ORDER

Upon consideration of the joint unopposed motion to amend briefing schedule and format, it is

ORDERED that the motion be granted. The following revised briefing format and schedule will apply:

Brief for Petitioner State of North Dakota
(not to exceed 4,000 words)

October 13, 2016

Brief for State Petitioners
(not to exceed 9,000 words)

October 13, 2016

United States Court of Appeals
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 15-1381

September Term, 2015

Brief for Non-State Petitioners (not to exceed 18,000 words)	October 13, 2016
Brief for Petitioner-Intervenors (not to exceed 7,000 words)	October 24, 2016
Brief for Respondent (not to exceed 31,000 words)	December 14, 2016
Briefs for Respondent-Intervenors (no more than three briefs, not to exceed a combined total of 13,300 words)	December 21, 2016
Reply Brief for Petitioner State of North Dakota (not to exceed 2,000 words)	January 19, 2017
Reply Brief for State Petitioners (not to exceed 4,500 words)	January 19, 2017
Reply Brief for Non-State Petitioners (not to exceed 9,000 words)	January 19, 2017
Reply Brief for Petitioner-Intervenors (not to exceed 3,500 words)	January 19, 2017
Deferred Appendix	January 30, 2017
Final Briefs	February 6, 2017

The court reminds the parties that

In cases involving direct review in this court of administrative actions, the brief of the appellant or petitioner must set forth the basis for the claim of standing. . . . When the appellant's or petitioner's standing is not apparent from the administrative record, the brief must include arguments and evidence establishing the claim of standing.

See D.C. Cir. Rule 28(a)(7).

United States Court of Appeals
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 15-1381

September Term, 2015

All issues and arguments must be raised by petitioners in the opening brief. The court ordinarily will not consider issues and arguments raised for the first time in the reply brief.

To enhance the clarity of their briefs, the parties are urged to limit the use of abbreviations, including acronyms. While acronyms may be used for entities and statutes with widely recognized initials, briefs should not contain acronyms that are not widely known. See D.C. Circuit Handbook of Practice and Internal Procedures 41 (2016); Notice Regarding Use of Acronyms (D.C. Cir. Jan. 26, 2010).

Parties are strongly encouraged to hand deliver the paper copies of their final briefs to the Clerk's office on the date due. Filing by mail may delay the processing of the brief. Additionally, counsel are reminded that if filing by mail, they must use a class of mail that is at least as expeditious as first-class mail. See Fed. R. App. P. 25(a). All briefs and appendices must contain the date that the case is scheduled for oral argument at the top of the cover. See D.C. Cir. Rule 28(a)(8).

Per Curiam

FOR THE COURT:
Mark J. Langer, Clerk

BY: /s/
Robert J. Cavello
Deputy Clerk